

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Milton O. Crawford,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Case No.: 2:12-cv-00122-GMN-GWF
vs.)	
)	ORDER
Smith's Food and Drug Centers, Inc., <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

Pending before the Court is *pro se* Plaintiff Milton O. Crawford's Motion for Judge Reassignment (ECF No. 152) and Motion for Appeal (ECF No. 158) regarding the Scheduling Order (ECF No. 154) entered on October 11, 2013.


Plaintiff's Motion for Judge Reassignment (ECF No. 152), was included as part of his Opposition (ECF No. 151) to the Minutes of Proceedings (ECF No. 143) for the hearing conducted on September 27, 2013. In that document, Plaintiff objects to the Court's rulings at the hearing, as memorialized by the written order entered on January 23, 2014 (ECF No. 186). On the basis of these objections, Plaintiff repeats his prior request for reassignment of the presiding judge. (ECF No. 152.) Having reviewed Plaintiff's motion, and finding no new grounds for reconsideration of the Court's previous denial of the same request (*see* Mins. of Proceedings, ECF No. 143; Order, Jan. 23, 2014, ECF No. 186), the Court finds no good cause to grant Plaintiff's motion. Accordingly, the Motion for Judge Reassignment (ECF No. 152) is **DENIED.**

In his Motion for Appeal (ECF No. 158), Plaintiff requests review of the Scheduling Order (ECF No. 154) entered on October 11, 2013, by Magistrate Judge George W. Foley, in which Judge Foley lifted the stay of all deadlines, and ordered that discovery and other deadlines shall proceed. (ECF No. 154.) As the basis for his motion, Plaintiff argues that the

1 stay should remain in place while his Motion to Reconsider (ECF No. 32) and Motion for
2 Default Judgment (ECF No. 57) remain pending. The Court finds no grounds to grant
3 Plaintiff's motion on this basis, however, because the Motion to Reconsider (ECF No. 32) was
4 granted on March 15, 2013, and the Motion for Default Judgment (ECF No. 57) was denied on
5 May 20, 2013. Therefore, the Court had ruled upon both motions over five months prior to
6 Judge Foley's Scheduling Order (ECF No. 154), which was entered on October 11, 2013.
7 Accordingly, the Motion for Appeal (ECF No. 158) is **DENIED**.

8 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Motion for Judge Reassignment (ECF No. 152)
9 and Motion for Appeal (ECF No. 158) are **DENIED**.

10 **DATED** this 9th day of April, 2014.

11
12 
13 _____
14 Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge
15 United States District Court
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25